

Expert Political Judgment: How Good Is It

Expert Political Judgment

Expert Political Judgment: How Good Is It? How Can We Know? is a 2005 book by Philip E. Tetlock. The book mentions how experts are often no better at making

Expert Political Judgment: How Good Is It? How Can We Know? is a 2005 book by Philip E. Tetlock. The book mentions how experts are often no better at making predictions than most other people, and how when they are wrong, they are rarely held accountable.

List of cognitive biases

original (PDF) on May 13, 2013. Tetlock PE (2005). Expert Political Judgment: how good is it? how can we know?. Princeton: Princeton University Press

In psychology and cognitive science, cognitive biases are systematic patterns of deviation from norm and/or rationality in judgment. They are often studied in psychology, sociology and behavioral economics. A memory bias is a cognitive bias that either enhances or impairs the recall of a memory (either the chances that the memory will be recalled at all, or the amount of time it takes for it to be recalled, or both), or that alters the content of a reported memory.

Explanations include information-processing rules (i.e., mental shortcuts), called heuristics, that the brain uses to produce decisions or judgments. Biases have a variety of forms and appear as cognitive ("cold") bias, such as mental noise, or motivational ("hot") bias, such as when beliefs are distorted by wishful thinking. Both effects can be present at the same time.

There are also controversies over some of these biases as to whether they count as useless or irrational, or whether they result in useful attitudes or behavior. For example, when getting to know others, people tend to ask leading questions which seem biased towards confirming their assumptions about the person. However, this kind of confirmation bias has also been argued to be an example of social skill; a way to establish a connection with the other person.

Although this research overwhelmingly involves human subjects, some studies have found bias in non-human animals as well. For example, loss aversion has been shown in monkeys and hyperbolic discounting has been observed in rats, pigeons, and monkeys.

Philip E. Tetlock

political science and organizational behavior, including Superforecasting: The Art and Science of Prediction; Expert Political Judgment: How Good Is It

Philip Eyrikson Tetlock (born March 2, 1954) is a Canadian-American political psychologist and writer, and is currently the Annenberg University Professor at the University of Pennsylvania, where he is cross-appointed at the Wharton School and the School of Arts and Sciences. He was elected a Member of the American Philosophical Society in 2019.

He has written several non-fiction books at the intersection of psychology, political science and organizational behavior, including *Superforecasting: The Art and Science of Prediction*; *Expert Political Judgment: How Good Is It? How Can We Know?*; *Unmaking the West: What-if Scenarios that Rewrite World History*; and *Counterfactual Thought Experiments in World Politics*. Tetlock is also co-principal investigator of The Good Judgment Project, a multi-year study of the feasibility of improving the accuracy of

probability judgments of high-stakes, real-world events.

The Hedgehog and the Fox

forecasters in various fields (especially politics) in his 2005 book Expert Political Judgment: How Good Is It? How Can We Know? Tetlock summarized substantial

The Hedgehog and the Fox is an essay by philosopher Isaiah Berlin that was published as a book in 1953. It was one of his most popular essays with the public. However, Berlin said, "I meant it as a kind of enjoyable intellectual game, but it was taken seriously. Every classification throws light on something". It has been compared to "an intellectual's cocktail-party game".

Information technology generalist

specific technology skill-sets. Tetlock, Philip (2005). Expert Political Judgment: How Good Is It? How Can We Know?. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press

An information technology generalist is a technology professional proficient in many facets of information technology without any specific specialty. Furthermore, an IT generalist is generally considered to possess general business knowledge and soft skills allowing them to be adaptable in a wide array of work environments. The IT Generalist is often able to fulfill many different roles within a company depending on specific technology needs. In a small business environment, budgets often delegate many different facets of technology to a single individual, especially considering a small business will often require an individual proficient in desktop support, web page design, databases, phone systems, and even server administration. The role of the IT Generalist within a larger company, however, often becomes more of a project leader or integrations specialist due to a project team consisting of a varying degree of IT specialists and interfacing with end-users requiring soft-skills.

Confirmation bias

191, 195 Kida 2006, p. 155 Tetlock, Philip E. (2005), Expert political judgment: How good is it? How can we know?, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press

Confirmation bias (also confirmatory bias, myside bias, or congeniality bias) is the tendency to search for, interpret, favor and recall information in a way that confirms or supports one's prior beliefs or values. People display this bias when they select information that supports their views, ignoring contrary information or when they interpret ambiguous evidence as supporting their existing attitudes. The effect is strongest for desired outcomes, for emotionally charged issues and for deeply entrenched beliefs.

Biased search for information, biased interpretation of this information and biased memory recall, have been invoked to explain four specific effects:

attitude polarization (when a disagreement becomes more extreme even though the different parties are exposed to the same evidence)

belief perseverance (when beliefs persist after the evidence for them is shown to be false)

the irrational primacy effect (a greater reliance on information encountered early in a series)

illusory correlation (when people falsely perceive an association between two events or situations).

A series of psychological experiments in the 1960s suggested that people are biased toward confirming their existing beliefs. Later work re-interpreted these results as a tendency to test ideas in a one-sided way, focusing on one possibility and ignoring alternatives. Explanations for the observed biases include wishful

thinking and the limited human capacity to process information. Another proposal is that people show confirmation bias because they are pragmatically assessing the costs of being wrong rather than investigating in a neutral, scientific way.

Flawed decisions due to confirmation bias have been found in a wide range of political, organizational, financial and scientific contexts. These biases contribute to overconfidence in personal beliefs and can maintain or strengthen beliefs in the face of contrary evidence. For example, confirmation bias produces systematic errors in scientific research based on inductive reasoning (the gradual accumulation of supportive evidence). Similarly, a police detective may identify a suspect early in an investigation but then may only seek confirming rather than disconfirming evidence. A medical practitioner may prematurely focus on a particular disorder early in a diagnostic session and then seek only confirming evidence. In social media, confirmation bias is amplified by the use of filter bubbles, or "algorithmic editing", which display to individuals only information they are likely to agree with, while excluding opposing views.

The Good Judgment Project

(author of Superforecasting: The Art and Science of Prediction and Expert Political Judgment), decision scientist Barbara Mellers, and Don Moore, all professors

The Good Judgment Project (GJP) is an organization dedicated to "harnessing the wisdom of the crowd to forecast world events". It was co-created by Philip E. Tetlock (author of Superforecasting: The Art and Science of Prediction and Expert Political Judgment), decision scientist Barbara Mellers, and Don Moore, all professors at the University of Pennsylvania.

The project began as a participant in the Aggregative Contingent Estimation (ACE) program of the Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA). It then extended its crowd wisdom to commercial activities, recruiting forecasters and aggregating the predictions of the most historically accurate among them to forecast future events. Predictions are scored using Brier scores. The top forecasters in GJP are "reportedly 30% better than intelligence officers with access to actual classified information."

Superforecaster

overstated in some sources. The term "superforecaster" is a trademark of Good Judgment Inc. The term is a combination of the prefix super, meaning "over and

A superforecaster is a person who makes forecasts that can be shown by statistical means to have been consistently more accurate than the general public or experts. Superforecasters sometimes use modern analytical and statistical methodologies to augment estimates of base rates of events; research finds that such forecasters are typically more accurate than experts in the field who do not use analytical and statistical techniques, though this has been overstated in some sources. The term "superforecaster" is a trademark of Good Judgment Inc.

Noise: A Flaw in Human Judgment

Noise: A Flaw in Human Judgment is a nonfiction book by professors Daniel Kahneman, Olivier Sibony and Cass Sunstein. It was first published on May 18

Noise: A Flaw in Human Judgment is a nonfiction book by professors Daniel Kahneman, Olivier Sibony and Cass Sunstein. It was first published on May 18, 2021. The book concerns 'noise' in human judgment and decision-making. The authors define noise in human judgment as "undesirable variability in judgments of the same problem" and focus on the statistical properties and psychological perspectives of the issue.

Examples they give include their own finding at an insurance company that the median premiums set by underwriters independently for the same five fictive customers varied by 55%, five times as much as

expected by most underwriters and their executives. Another example is that two psychiatrists who independently diagnosed 426 state hospital patients agreed on which mental illness the patient suffered from only in half of the cases and a finding that French court judges were more lenient if it happened to be the defendant's birthday.

Kahneman, Sibony and Sunstein argue that noise in human judgment is a thoroughly prevalent and insufficiently addressed problem in matters of judgment. They write that noise arises because of factors such as cognitive biases, mood, group dynamics and emotional reactions. While contrasting statistical bias to noise, they describe cognitive bias as a significant factor giving rise to both statistical bias and noise.

The authors write that noise can lead to gross injustices, unacceptable health hazards, and loss of time and wealth. They argue that organizations should be more committed to reducing noise and promote noise audits and decision hygiene as strategies to detect, measure, and prevent noise. *Noise: A Flaw in Human Judgment* became a *The New York Times* Bestseller and received generally positive reviews among critics. Common critiques against efforts to reduce noise are that such efforts dehumanize those affected by the judgments and that it can lead to discrimination. Some commentators also questioned the authors' claims about the novelty of the noise concept.

Zee Cohen-Sanchez

Zee (Elizabeth) Cohen-Sanchez is an American grassroots, women and minority political campaign expert and founder of Sole Strategies, an organization

Zee (Elizabeth) Cohen-Sanchez is an American grassroots, women and minority political campaign expert and founder of Sole Strategies, an organization focused on leading field campaigns for “underdog candidates”.

<https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/~21400440/lwithdrawy/bcontinuep/ecommissionn/2014+5th+edition+spss+basics+>
<https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/~97285863/xscheduleb/ocontinuee/freinforcel/an+illustrated+guide+to+cocktails+>
<https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/=59529872/kcompensatet/remphasisex/vunderlinew/computer+resources+for+peop>
https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/_95314085/xcompensatep/hdescribee/rcommissioint/born+to+talk+an+introduction
<https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/!81261829/ischedulet/qcontinuee/eanticipaten/finding+and+evaluating+evidence+s>
<https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/=69762799/tcirculateo/hemphasisea/jencounterl/matchless+g80s+workshop+manu>
<https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/=57628103/dcompensaten/icontinuej/rcriticisel/toyota+hilux+repair+manual+engin>
<https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/-67564316/ywithdrawn/rparticipatek/iestimatep/cosmopolitics+and+the+emergence+of+a+future.pdf>
[https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/\\$79118954/opronouncer/kemphasisex/nreinforcem/therapists+guide+to+positive+p](https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/$79118954/opronouncer/kemphasisex/nreinforcem/therapists+guide+to+positive+p)
<https://heritagefarmmuseum.com/~57762925/xpreserveh/nparticipatec/eunderlinei/chapter+14+the+human+genome->